arXiv:1203.1373v1 [math.AG] 7 Mar 2012

MODULAR FORMS AND SPECIAL CUBIC FOURFOLDS

ZHIYUAN LI, LETAO ZHANG

Abstract. We study the degree of the special cubic fourfolds in the Hilbert scheme of cubic fourfolds via a computation of the generating series of Heegner divisors of even lattice of signature $(2, 20)$.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Special Cubic fourfolds 2
3. Modular forms 5
4. Modular forms on $O(2, 20)$ lattice 8
5. Proof of Theorem 1 12
6. Picard group of the arithmetic quotient 14
7. Appendix 15
References 17

1. Introduction

Let V be a six dimensional complex vector space. A smooth cubic hypersurface X in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ is *special* if it contains an algebraic surface S not homologous to a complete intersection. Denote by h the hyperplane class of X. We say that X is special of discriminant d if the discriminant of the saturated lattice spanned by h^2 and S is d. It is known [8] that $d > 6$ when X is smooth.

The set of special cubic fourfolds of discriminant d forms a divisor C_d in the Hilbert scheme $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Sym}^3 V^*)$. Hassett [8] gives a definition of C_6 via the period map (cf. §2). In this paper, we will study the degree of the these divisors in $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Sym}^3 V^*)$.

Set

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(q) &= 1 + 6\sum_{n\geq 1} q^n \sum_{d|n} \left(\frac{d}{3}\right) \\ \beta(q) &= \sum_{n\geq 1} q^n \sum_{d|n} (n/d)^2 \left(\frac{d}{3}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\left(\frac{d}{3}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1. *Let* $\Theta(q) = -2 + \sum_{d>2}^{\infty} deg(C_d) q^{\frac{d}{6}}$ *be the associated generating series. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta(q) =& -\alpha^{11}(q) + 162\alpha^8(q)\beta(q) + 91854\alpha^5(q)\beta^2(q)\\ &+ 2204496\alpha^2(q)\beta^3(q) - \alpha^{11}(q^{\frac{1}{3}}) + 66\alpha^8(q^{\frac{1}{3}})\beta(q^{\frac{1}{3}})\\ &- 1386\alpha^5(q^{\frac{1}{3}})\beta^2(q^{\frac{1}{3}}) + 9072\alpha^2(q^{\frac{1}{3}})\beta^3(q^{\frac{1}{3}})\\ =& -2 + 192q + 3402q^{\frac{4}{3}} + 196272q^2 + 915678q^{\frac{7}{3}} + \dots\end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

is a modular form of weight 11 *and level* 3.

Remark 1. The constant term of $\Theta(q)$ is determined by the degree of the Hodge bundle of a pencil of cubic fourfolds. This will be discussed in §3 and §4.

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we review Hodge theory on cubic fourfolds, such as the period domain of the polarized lattice $\Lambda_0 := \langle h^2 \rangle^{\perp}$ in the middle cohomology and the global Torelli theorem for cubic fourfolds. Moreover, we give an interpretation of the degree of C_d as an intersection number on the period domain and give some examples. Section 3 is devoted to introducing Borcherd's work on vector-valued modular forms on lattices and Heegner divisors. In particular, we review the results about the modularity of the generating series of Heegner divisors, and apply them to our lattice $-\Lambda_0$ to show the correspondence between the Heegner divisors and special cubic fourfolds. As a result, we show that the vector-valued generating function of $deg(C_d)$ is a vector-valued modular form $\overrightarrow{\Psi}$ of a certain type. In section 4, we construct basis of the space of vector-valued modular forms of that type. As a consequence, we can express $\overrightarrow{\Psi}$ explicitly as some functions of vector-valued Eisenstein series. Theorem 1 is proved in section 5. In our last section, we show that the Picard group of the arithmetic quotient of the Period domain is generated by the Heegner divisors.

Acknowledgements. The first author was supported by NSF grant 0901645 and the second author was supported by the Dean's Fellowship of Wiess School of Natural Science at Rice University. The authors are grateful to their advisor Brendan Hassett for introducing this problem, and many useful discussions. Thanks Radu Laza for useful discussions.

2. Special Cubic fourfolds

In this section, we review some classical results on cubic fourfolds.

2.1. **Hodge theory.** Let X be a smooth cubic fourfold. The middle cohomology $H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}) =: \Lambda$ is a rank 23 odd lattice of signature $(21, 2)$ under the intersection form $\langle , \rangle$ (cf. [8]).

We take Λ_0 be the orthogonal complement of h^2 and denote by $\Lambda_0^\vee$ the dual lattice of Λ_0. It is known [8] that

$$\Lambda_0 = W \oplus U^{\oplus 2} \oplus E_8^{\oplus 2}, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \; U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.2}$$

and E_8 is the positive definite lattice associated to the Lie group of the same name.

The period domain

$$\mathcal{D} = \{\omega \in \mathbb{P}(\Lambda_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}) | \langle \omega, \omega \rangle = 0, -\langle \omega, \bar{\omega} \rangle > 0\},$$

can be viewed as the analytic open subset of a quadric.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the quotient $\mathcal{D}/\Gamma$ for the arithmetic subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq Aut(\Lambda_0)$ stabilizing $\mathcal{D}$. As in [8], $\mathcal{X}$ is known to be a quasi-projective variety of dimension twenty.

Let $\mathcal{C}^\circ$ be the moduli space of smooth cubic fourfolds. The period map

$$\mathcal{P}^\circ : \mathcal{C}^\circ \to \mathcal{X} \tag{2.3}$$

sending each smooth cubic fourfold to its period is an open immersion of analytic spaces [18, 19]. Therefore, we may regard $\mathcal{C}^\circ$ as an open subset of the arithmetic quotient $\mathcal{X}$.

Definition 1. Let L be a rank-two positive definite saturated sublattice of Λ containing h^2. Let $\mathcal{D}_L$ be the hyperplane of $\mathcal{D}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_L = \{\omega \in \mathcal{D} \mid \omega \perp L\}. \tag{2.4}$$

Then we define D_d to be the quotient by Γ of the union of hyperplanes $\mathcal{D}_L$ with $\det(L) = d$.

Remark 2. The union of $\mathcal{D}_L$ with the given discriminant d has a nontrivial stabilizer only if $d = 6$. The stabilizer acts trivially on $W^\perp$ and acts as the reflection on W.

The following result is shown in [8],

Theorem 2. *If $d > 6$, $D_d \subset \mathcal{X}$ corresponds to the special cubic fourfolds of discriminant d and is a nonempty divisor if and only if $d \equiv 0, 2 \mod 6$.*

Remark 3. Via a study of degenerations of cubic fourfolds, Hassett [8] has also shown that:

(i) D_2 parametrizes the limiting mixed Hodge structures arising from *determininantal cubic fourfolds.*

(ii) D_6 parametrizes the limiting mixed Hodge structures arising from cubic fourfolds with a single double point.

2.2. **The degree of special cubic fourfolds.** Let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Sym}^3 V^*)$ be the Zariski open subset parametrizing cubic hyperpsurfaces with at worst isolated simple (A-D-E) singularities. Since a cubic fourfold with isolated simple singularities is GIT stable, it makes sense to define the moduli space $\mathcal{C}$ of such cubic fourfolds (cf. [10], [11] Theorem 2.1). Therefore, we have a canonical morphism

$$\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{C}.$$

In [11], Laza has studied the image of the period map, and shown that $\mathcal{P}^\circ : \mathcal{C}^\circ \to \mathcal{X}$ extends to a regular morphism $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{X}$. Let φ be the composition

$$\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{X} \ .$$

Then the divisor C_d can be considered as the Zariski closure of $\varphi^{-1}(D_d)$ in $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Sym}^3 V^*)$ for $d > 2$.

Remark 4. Actually, Looijenga [12] and Laza [11] have both shown that the complement of $\mathcal{C}^\circ$ in $\mathcal{X}$ is the union of D_2 and D_6.

A natural approach to compute the degree of C_d is via intersections with test curves. Let $\pi : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a Lefschetz pencil of cubic hyperplane sections of $\mathbb{P}(V)$. It yields a natural morphism

$$\iota_\pi : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Sym}^3 V^*) \tag{2.5}$$

factoring through $\mathcal{U}$. Then $deg(C_d) = N_d := \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \iota_\pi^*[C_d]$. For $d > 2$, the intersection number N_d can be computed by

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \kappa_\pi^*[D_d], \tag{2.6}$$

where $\kappa_\pi : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathcal{X}$ is the composition of ι_π and φ.

If $d = 2$, since there are no *determinantal cubic fourfolds* in a Lefschetz pencil of cubic fourfolds, we set $N_2 = 0$.

2.3. **Some examples.** In this subsection, we will give some examples of $deg(C_d)$ by enumerative geometry methods.

2.3.1. *d=6, cubic fourfolds with double points.*

The *first jet bundle* $J^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(3))$ [16] of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(3)$ is defined by the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \Omega_{\mathbb{P}(V)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(3) \to J^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(3)) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(3) \to 0. \tag{2.7}$$

In addition, we have a natural surjection $\mathrm{Sym}^3(V^*) \to J^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(3))$ and denote by K the kernel of this map. Then K is a rank 50 vector bundle, corresponding to the universal subbundle parameterizing all the cubic fourfolds containing a double point. It fits into the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to K \to \mathrm{Sym}^3(V^*) \to J^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(3)) \to 0 \ . \tag{2.8}$$

Let $\mathbb{P}(K)$ be the projectivization of K and set $\xi = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(K)}(1))$ with

$$\xi^{50} + c_1(K)\xi^{49} + \cdots + c_5(K)\xi^{45} = 0.$$

By direct computation, we have:

$$deg(C_6) = \xi^{54} = 192.$$

(cf. Appendix 7.1.)

2.3.2. *d=8, cubic fourfolds containing a plane.*

Let $Gr(3, V)$ be the Grassmannian parametrizing all planes in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ and S be the universal subbundle of $Gr(3, V)$. Take the dual of the canonical exact sequence

$$0 \to S \to V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Gr(3,V)} \to \underbrace{Q}_{\text{quotient}} \to 0 \ , \tag{2.9}$$

we get

$$0 \to Q^* \to V^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Gr(3,V)} \xrightarrow{p} S^* \to 0 \ .$$

Then the morphism p induces a surjection $\mathrm{Sym}^3(V^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Gr(3,V)}) \to \mathrm{Sym}^3 S^*$, which gives

$$0 \to K' \to \mathrm{Sym}^3(V^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Gr(3,V)}) \to \mathrm{Sym}^3 S^* \to 0 \ . \tag{2.10}$$

The kernel K' corresponds to the universal subbundle parametrizing all the cubic fourfolds containing a plane.

Similar as the case of C_6, we obtain

$$\deg(C_8) = \xi^{54} = 3402$$

via standard Schubert calculus, where $\xi = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(K')}(1))$ (cf. Appendix 7.2.)

3. Modular forms

We stick to the notation instroduced in §2.

3.1. **Vector-valued modular forms.** The *metaplectic* double cover of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ consists of the elements $(A, \phi(\tau))$, where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), \ \phi(\tau) = \pm\sqrt{c\tau + d}.$$

It is well-known that $Mp_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by

$$T = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right), \ S = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sqrt{\tau} \right).$$

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the complex upper half-plane. Suppose we have a representation ρ of $Mp_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on a finite dimensional complex vector space $\mathbb{V}$, such that ρ

factors through a finite quotient. For any $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, a vector-valued modular form $f(\tau)$ of weight k and type ρ on $\mathbb{V}$ is a function

$$f : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{V}$$

holomorphic on $\mathcal{H}$ and at ∞, such that for all $g = (A, \phi(\tau)) \in Mp_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$f(A\tau) = \phi(\tau)^{2k} \cdot \rho(g)(f(\tau)).$$

When $\dim \mathbb{V} = 1$, this recovers the definition of the scalar-valued modular forms with a character.

Given an even nondegenerate integral lattice M of signature (b^+, b^-) with a bilinear form $\langle , \rangle$, we denote by $M^\vee$ its dual lattice. From now on, we always regard M as an even nondegenerate integral lattice. There is a Weil representation ρ_M of $Mp_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on the group ring $\mathbb{C}[M^\vee/M]$. Let v_γ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}[M^\vee/M]$ for $\gamma \in M^\vee/M$. Since $Mp_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by S and T, ρ_M can be defined by the actions of the generators as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_M(T)v_\gamma &= e^{2\pi i \frac{\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle}{2}} v_\gamma \\ \rho_M(S)v_\gamma &= \frac{\sqrt{i}^{b^- - b^+}}{\sqrt{|M^\vee/M|}} \sum_{\delta \in M^\vee/M} e^{-2\pi i \langle \gamma, \delta \rangle} v_\delta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

If $N > 0$ is the smallest integer for which $N\frac{\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\gamma \in M^\vee$, the representation factors through the finite index subgroup $\tilde{\Gamma}(N) \subset Mp_2(\mathbb{Z})$, where

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(N) = \left\{ (A, \phi) | A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mod N \right\}$$

Let ρ_M^* be the dual representation of ρ_M and denote by $\mathrm{Mod}(Mp_2(\mathbb{Z}), k, \rho_M^*)$ the space of modular forms of weight k and type ρ_M^*.

3.2. **Heegner divisors.** Let M have signature $(2, m)$. We consider the domain

$$\mathcal{D}_M = \{\omega \in \mathbb{P}(M \otimes \mathbb{C}) | \, \langle \omega, \omega \rangle = 0, \langle \omega, \bar{\omega} \rangle > 0\},$$

and

$$\Gamma_M = \{g \in Aut(M) | \; g \text{ acts trivially on } M^\vee/M\}.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{X}_M$ the quotient $\mathcal{D}_M/\Gamma_M$(cf. [5]). Given $v \in M^\vee$, there is an associated hyperplane

$$v^\perp = \{w \in \mathcal{D}_M | \; \langle w, v \rangle = 0\} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_M.$$

It is easy to see that the value $\langle v, v \rangle$ and the residue class $v \mod M$ are both invariant under the action of Γ_M. Therefore, for each pair of $n \in \mathbb{Q}^{<0}$ and $\gamma \in M^\vee/M$, one can define the *Heegner divisor* [5] $y_{n,\gamma}$ of $\mathcal{X}_M$ by

$$y_{n,\gamma} = \left(\sum_{\frac{1}{2}\langle v, v \rangle = n, \; v \equiv \gamma \mod M} v^\perp \right) / \Gamma_M.$$

In the degenerate case where $n = 0$, we take $y_{0,0}$ to be the $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor coming from $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on $\mathcal{D}_M \subseteq \mathbb{P}(M \otimes \mathbb{C})$.

Set $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ and consider the vector-valued generating series

$$\overrightarrow{\Phi}(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Q} \geq 0} \sum_{\gamma \in M^\vee/M} y_{-n,\gamma} q^n v_\gamma \in Pic(\mathcal{X}_M)[[q^{1/N}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}[M^\vee/M];$$

we have the following results:

Theorem 3. *([2][14][13]) Let M be an even lattice of signature $(2, m)$. The generating series $\overrightarrow{\Phi}(q)$ is an element of*

$$Pic(\mathcal{X}_M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Mod}(Mp_2(\mathbb{Z}), 1 + \frac{m}{2}, \rho_M^*).$$

Remark 5. As a result, for any linear function

$$\lambda : Pic(\mathcal{X}_M) \otimes \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}, \tag{3.2}$$

the corresponding linear contraction $\lambda(\overrightarrow{\Phi})$ is a vector-valued modular form in $\mathrm{Mod}(Mp_2(\mathbb{Z}), 1 + \frac{m}{2}, \rho_M^*)$.

3.3. **Application to special cubic fourfolds.** Now, we take M to be the lattice $\Lambda_0' := -\Lambda_0$ given in (2.1), i.e. the bilinear form on Λ_0' is the negative intersection form of Λ_0. By abuse of notation, we continue to use $\langle , \rangle$ for this bilinear form on Λ_0'.

One can certainly identify the quotient $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda_0'}$, and regard D_d as the natural divisor in $\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda_0'}$.

Since $|\Lambda_0'^\vee/\Lambda_0'| = |\det(\Lambda_0')| = 3$, we can take γ_i to be the three elements of $\Lambda_0'^\vee/\Lambda_0'$ with $\frac{1}{2}\langle \gamma_i, \gamma_i \rangle = -\frac{i^2}{3} \mod \mathbb{Z}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$. Denote by v_i the corresponding basis of $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda_0'^\vee/\Lambda_0']$. The following result is straightforward:

Lemma 1. *The Heegner divisors $y_{n,\gamma} = y_{n,-\gamma} = D_d$, where*

$$n = \frac{-d}{6} \text{ and } \gamma \equiv \frac{d}{2}\gamma_1 \mod \Lambda_0',$$

for $(n, \gamma) \neq (0, 0)$.

Proof. The redundancy $y_{n,\gamma} = y_{n,-\gamma}$ is because of the symmetry $\langle v \rangle^\perp = \langle -v \rangle^\perp$. Let $L \subset -\Lambda$ be a rank 2 negative sublattice containing h^2 and of discriminant d. Assume that L is generated by h^2 and ζ. Then there is a bijection between the two sets of hyperplanes as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_L = \{\omega \in \mathcal{D}_{\Lambda_0'} \mid \omega \perp L\} &\longleftrightarrow v^\perp \\ \zeta &\longleftrightarrow v = \zeta + \frac{\langle \zeta, h^2 \rangle}{3} h^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

since one can verify that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\left\langle \zeta+\frac{\langle\zeta,h^2\rangle}{3}h^2,\zeta+\frac{\langle\zeta,h^2\rangle}{3}h^2\right\rangle &= n \\ \zeta+\frac{\langle\zeta,h^2\rangle}{3}h^2 &\equiv \pm\frac{d}{2}\gamma_1 \mod \Lambda_0'.\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

♣

In this situation, the intersection of $y_{0,0}$ with the test curve $\kappa_\pi:\mathbb{P}^1\to\mathcal{X}$ in §2.3 equals to the degree of the Hodge bundle $R^3\pi_*(\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathbb{P}^1})$, where $\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathbb{P}^1}$ is the relative sheaf of holomorphic 1-forms. After applying the linear contraction (2.6) to $\overrightarrow{\Phi}$, we obtain that:

Corollary 4. *The vector-valued meromporhic function*

$$\overrightarrow{\Psi}(q)=\deg(R^3\pi_*(\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathbb{P}^1}))v_0+\sum_{i=0}^{2}\sum_{\substack{d\equiv i^2\\ mod\ 3}}^{\infty}N_d q^{\frac{d}{6}}v_i \tag{3.5}$$

is a vector-valued modular form of weight 11 *and type* $\rho^*_{\Lambda_0'}$.

4. Modular forms on $O(2,20)$ lattice

4.1. **Dimension of** $\mathrm{Mod}(Mp_2(\mathbb{Z}),k,\rho^*_{\Lambda_0'})$**.** In this subsection, we will compute the dimension of the space $\mathrm{Mod}(Mp_2(\mathbb{Z}),k,\rho^*_{\Lambda_0'})$ via Bruinier's formula [4].

Lemma 2. $\dim\mathrm{Mod}(Mp_2(\mathbb{Z}),k,\rho^*_{\Lambda_0'})=\begin{cases}1 & \textit{if } k=3,5;\\ 2 & \textit{if } k=11.\end{cases}$

Proof. According to Lemma 2 in [4], the formula yields the following evaluation:

$$\begin{aligned}\dim\mathrm{Mod}(Mp_2(\mathbb{Z}),k,\rho^*_{\Lambda_0'}) &= (2-1/2-2/3)+\frac{k}{6}-\frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}}\mathrm{Re}[e^{\frac{(k-1)\pi i}{2}}G(2,\Lambda_0')]\\ &\quad -\frac{1}{9}\mathrm{Re}[e^{\frac{(k+2)\pi i}{3}}(G(1,\Lambda_0')+G(-3,\Lambda_0'))]-\frac{1}{3}\\ &=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } k=3,5;\\ 2 & \text{if } k=11.\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

where Re(.) denotes the real part of (.) and $G(a,\Lambda_0')$ denotes the quadratic Gauss sum

$$G(a,\Lambda_0')=\sum_{n=0}^{2}e^{-\frac{2an^2}{3}\pi i},\ a\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

♣

Remark 6. Since Λ_0' and the lattice $-W$ in (2.2) differ by an even unimodular lattice, we have $\mathrm{Mod}(Mp_2(\mathbb{Z}), k, \rho^*_{\Lambda_0'}) = \mathrm{Mod}(Mp_2(\mathbb{Z}), k, \rho^*_{-W})$. Therefore, we will study the modular forms on lattice $W' = -W$ instead.

4.2. **Eisenstein series.** In this subsection, we summarize some results on various Eisenstein series (cf. [7] §4) which will be used later.

4.2.1. *Scalar-valued case.* Assume that $k > 2$ is even. The classical Eisenstein series

$$E_k(\tau) = 1 - \frac{2k}{B_k}\sum_{n\geq 1}\sum_{d|n} d^{k-1}q^n \tag{4.1}$$

is a modular form of weight k for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, where B_k is the Bernoulli number (see later in (4.7)).

Let χ denote the nontrivial Dirichlet character modulo 3 on $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, i.e.

$$\chi\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \chi_{-3}(d),\ \forall \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$$

where $\chi_{-3} : \mathbb{Z} \to \{0, \pm 1\}$ is the nontrivial Dirchlet character modulo 3. We define the modular group $\Gamma_0(3)$ (resp. $\Gamma^0(3)$) to be the subgroup

$$\left\{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid c \equiv 0 \mod 3\right\} (\text{resp. } b \equiv 0 \mod 3),$$

Then we have following results:

Proposition 4.2.2. *Assume that $k > 0$ is an odd integer. Then*

$$E_k(\tau, \chi) := \begin{cases} 1 + 6\sum\limits_{n\geq 1}\sum\limits_{d|n} \chi_{-3}(\frac{n}{d})q^n & k = 1, \\ \sum\limits_{n\geq 1}\sum\limits_{d|n} d^{k-1}\chi_{-3}(\frac{n}{d})q^n & k \geq 3. \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

is a modular form of weight k with character χ for $\Gamma_0(3)$.

Respectively, $E_k'(\tau, \chi) = E_k(\tau/3, \chi)$ is a modular form of weight k with character χ for $\Gamma^0(3)$.

Proof. See [3] Lemma 10.2 and Lemma 10.3 for the modularity of (4.2). Next, the modularity of $E_k'(\tau, \chi)$ comes from [7] Theorem 4.2.3 and §4.8. ♣

4.2.3. *Vector-valued case.* Now we introduce the case of vector-valued Eisenstein series on $\mathbb{C}[M^\vee/M]$ contructed by Bruinier and Kuss in [6]. Let $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and f be a vector-valued function on $\mathbb{C}[M^\vee/M]$. The Petersson slash operator is defined by

$$f|_k^*(g)(\tau) = \phi(\tau)^{-2k}\rho_M^*(g)^{-1}f(A\tau) \tag{4.3}$$

for $g = (A, \phi) \in Mp_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Then we have:

Lemma 3. [6] *Let* $v_0 \in \mathbb{C}[M^\vee/M]$ *be the vector corresponding to the trivial class in* $M^\vee/M$ *and consider* v_0 *as a constant function from* $\mathcal{H}$ *to* $\mathbb{C}[M^\vee/M]$. *The vector-valued Eisenstein series attached to* M *with respect to* v_0

$$\overrightarrow{E_k}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{g \in \tilde{\Gamma}_\infty \backslash Mp_2(\mathbb{Z})} v_0|_k^*(g)(\tau) \tag{4.4}$$

is a vector-valued modular form of weight k *and type* ρ_M^* *on* $\mathbb{C}[M^\vee/M]$, *where* $\tilde{\Gamma}_\infty$ *denotes the subgroup of* $Mp_2(\mathbb{Z})$ *generated by the elements*

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

For $\gamma, \gamma' \in M^\vee/M$, we denote by $\gamma\gamma'$ the function $\langle \gamma, \gamma' \rangle \mod \mathbb{Z}$. In the case of $M = W'$ and $k > 2$, the weight k vector-valued Eisenstein series attached to W' is given by [6] as follows:

$$\overrightarrow{E_k}(q) = 2v_0 + \sum_{\gamma \in W^\vee/W} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2 \\ n > 0}} \frac{2^{k+1}\pi^k n^{k-1}(-1)^{(k-1)/2}}{\sqrt{3}\Gamma(k)L(k,\chi_{-3})} \prod_{p|18n} \frac{L_{\gamma,n}(k,p)}{1 - \chi_{-3}(p)p^{-k}} q^n v_\gamma \tag{4.5}$$

Here, $L(k, \chi_{-3})$ denotes the Dirichlet L-series with character χ_{-3} and $L_{\gamma,n}(k,p)$ is the local Euler product defined as following:

$$\begin{aligned} d_\gamma &= \min\{b \in \mathbb{N},\ b\gamma \in W'\}; \\ \omega_p &= 1 + 2v_p(2d_\gamma n),\ v_p \text{ is the } p\text{-evaluation}; \\ N_{\gamma,n}(a) &= \sharp\{r \in (\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^2 \ | \frac{1}{2}(r-\gamma)^2 + n \equiv 0 \mod a\}; \\ L_{\gamma,n}(k,p) &= (1 - p^{1-k}) \sum_{v=0}^{\omega_p - 1} N_{\gamma,n}(p^v)p^{-kv} + N_{\gamma,n}(p^{\omega_p})p^{-k\omega_p}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

When $k = 5$,

$$L(5, \chi_{-3}) = \frac{2^4\pi^5}{5!\sqrt{3}} \sum_{n=1}^{3} \chi_{-3}(n) B_5(1 - n/3) = \frac{2^5\pi^5}{3^5\Gamma(5)\sqrt{3}},$$

where $B_k(x)$ is the Bernoulli polynomial [20] given by the generating function

$$\frac{te^{xt}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_k(x) \frac{t^k}{k!}, \tag{4.7}$$

and $B_k(0) = B_k$. Thus one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned}\overrightarrow{E_5}(q) =&2v_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{2} \sum_{\substack{n\in\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{3}i^2\\ n>0}} 486n^4 \prod_{p|18n} \frac{L_{\gamma_i,n}(5,p)}{1-\chi_{-3}(p)p^{-5}} q^n v_i \\ =&(2+492q+7200q^2+39372q^3+\ldots)v_0+(6q^{1/3}+1446q^{4/3}+ \\ &14412q^{7/3}+\ldots)v_1+(6q^{1/3}+1446q^{4/3}+14412q^{7/3}+\ldots)v_2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Remark 7. In this situation, the vector-valued Eisenstein series $\overrightarrow{E_5}(q)$ equals two times the Siegel theta series [1] of the lattice $M = W \oplus E_8$:

$$\overrightarrow{\Theta}_{5,M}(q) = \sum_{\gamma\in M^\vee/M} \sum_{r\in M+\gamma} q^{\frac{1}{2}r^2} v_\gamma.$$

4.3. **Construction of modular form.** Now we are ready to find the basis of $\mathrm{Mod}(Mp_2(\mathbb{Z}), 11, \rho^*_{W'})$. Given any two level N scalar-valued modular forms $f(q), g(q)$ on the upper half plane $\mathcal{H}$ of weight k_1 and k_2. The n-th Rankin-Cohen bracket is defined as follows:

$$[f(q), g(q)]_n = \sum_{r=0}^{n} (-1)^r \begin{pmatrix} n+k_1-1 \\ n-r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n+k_2-1 \\ r \end{pmatrix} f^{(r)}(q)\cdot g^{(n-r)}(q)$$

where $f^{(r)}$ denotes the r-th differential of f with respect to τ.

For a vector-valued modular form

$$\overrightarrow{F}(q) = \sum_{\gamma\in M^\vee/M} F_\gamma v_\gamma \in \mathrm{Mod}(Mp_2(\mathbb{Z}), k_1, \rho^*_M),$$

one can extend the Rankin-Cohen bracket to $\overrightarrow{F}(q)$ and $g(q)$ as follows,

$$[\overrightarrow{F}(q), g(q)]_n = \sum_{\gamma\in M^\vee/M} [F_\gamma(q), g(q)]_n v_\gamma \tag{4.9}$$

The following result can be found in [13],

Lemma 4. *If* $\overrightarrow{F}(q) \in \mathrm{Mod}(Mp_2(\mathbb{Z}), k_1, \rho^*_M)$ *and* $g(q)$ *a scalar-valued modular form of weight* k_2 *and level* 1, *then*

$$[\overrightarrow{F}, g]_n \in \mathrm{Mod}(Mp_2(\mathbb{Z}), k_1+k_2+2n, \rho^*_M).$$

Our main result is:

Theorem 5. *The vector-valued functions*

$$\overrightarrow{F_n}(q) = [\overrightarrow{E_5}(q), E_{6-2n}(q)]_n, n = 0, 1,$$

form a basis of $\mathrm{Mod}(Mp_2(\mathbb{Z}), 11, \rho^*_W)$. *Furthermore,*

$$\begin{aligned}\overrightarrow{\Psi}(q) &= -\overrightarrow{F_0}(q) - \frac{3}{4}\overrightarrow{F_1}(q) \\ &= (-2+192q+196272q^2+\ldots)v_0+(0+3402q^{4/3}+ \\ &917568q^{7/3}+\ldots)v_1+(3402q^{4/3}+917568q^{7/3}+\ldots)v_2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Proof. The modularity of $\overrightarrow{F_n}(q)$ comes from Lemma 4. Moreover, a direct computation shows that $\overrightarrow{F_0}$ and $\overrightarrow{F_1}$ are linearly independent. Thus they form a basis of $\mathrm{Mod}(Mp_2(\mathbb{Z}), 11, \rho^*_{W'})$ by Lemma 2.

To obtain the expression (4.10), it suffices to use the following two constraint conditions:

(1) The degree of the Hodge bundle $R^3\pi_*(\Omega^1_\pi)$ is -2, which gives the coefficient of $q^0 v_0$.
By Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch, we have the following Chern character computation:
$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ch}(\pi!\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathbb{P}^1}) &= \mathrm{ch}(-R^1\pi_*\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathbb{P}^1} - R^3\pi_*\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathbb{P}^1})\\ &= \pi_*(\mathrm{ch}(\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathbb{P}^1})\mathrm{td}(\mathrm{T}_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathbb{P}^1}))\\ &= -2 + 2c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)),\end{aligned}$$
where $\mathrm{T}_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathbb{P}^1}$ is the relative tangent bundle. Moreover, as the line bundle $R^1\pi_*(\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathbb{P}^1}) = R^2\pi_*\mathbb{C}$ is trivial by the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem, we obtain $\deg(\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathbb{P}^1}) = -2$.

(2) There is no discriminant 2 special cubic fourfolds in a general pencil of cubic fourfolds. It follows that the coefficient of $q^{1/3}v_1$ is 0.

♣

Remark 8. The coefficients in (4.10) coincide with our computation in §2.3.

5. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we will study the modularity of certain functions coming from a vector-valued modular function on $\mathbb{C}[M^\vee/M]$.

5.1. **Modularity of Ψ_i.** Denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the group $M^\vee/M$ and let $m_c : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ be the multiplication map by $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mathcal{M}^c$ be the image of m_c and $\mathcal{M}_c$ be the kernel. The set $\mathcal{M}^{c*}$ defined by

$$\{\nu \in \mathcal{M} \mid c\gamma^2/2 + \nu\gamma = 0 \mod \mathbb{Z}, \forall \gamma \in \mathcal{M}_c\}, \tag{5.1}$$

is a coset of $\mathcal{M}^c$ with representative x_c (cf. [17]). Then there is a well-defined $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ valued function $\mathcal{M}^{c*} \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ given by

$$\nu = x_c + c\gamma \longmapsto \nu_c^2 = c\gamma^2/2 + \gamma x_c \mod \mathbb{Z}.$$

Write $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$; the Weil representation can be expressed as follows:

Theorem 6. [17] *Let $g = (A, \phi) \in Mp_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. Then*

$$\rho^*_M(g)v_\gamma = \xi \frac{\sqrt{|\mathcal{M}_c|}}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{M}|}} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}^{c*}} e(-a\nu_c^2/2 - b\nu\gamma - bd\gamma^2)v_{d\gamma+\nu}\ , \tag{5.2}$$

where $\xi = e(sign(\mathcal{M})/4)\prod_p \xi_p$, and the local factor ξ_p is determined by the Jordan components of $\mathcal{M}$.

In particular, if N is an integer such that $\frac{N\gamma^2}{2}\in\mathbb{Z}$ for all $\gamma\in\mathcal{M}$, then

$$\rho^*_M(g)v_\gamma=\left(\frac{a}{|\mathcal{M}|}\right)e((a-1)oddity(\mathcal{M})/8)e(-bd\gamma^2/2)v_{d\gamma},\ if\ g\in\tilde{\Gamma}_0(N) \tag{5.3}$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}_0(N)\subset Mp_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is the preimage of $\Gamma_0(N)$ and $\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)$ is the Jacobi symbol.

The definitions of ξ_p and the oddity of $\mathcal{M}$ are given in [17]. Now we consider the case where $M=W'$ and denote $\mathcal{W}=W'^{\vee}/W'$. The following result is straightforward by (5.3):

Corollary 7. *Let $\overrightarrow{F}=\sum\limits_{i=0}^{2}F_iv_i$ be a vector-valued modular form of weight k and type $\rho^*_{W'}$. Then*

(i). F_0 is a scalar-valued modular form for $\Gamma_0(3)$ of weight k with character χ.

(ii). $F_1=F_2$ is a scalar-valued modular form for $\Gamma_1(3)$ of weight k with character $\chi'(A)=e^{\frac{2b\pi i}{3}}$, where

$$\Gamma_1(3)=\left\{\begin{pmatrix} a & b\\ c & d\end{pmatrix}\in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})|\ a\equiv d\equiv 1,\ b\equiv 0\mod 3\right\}.$$

The space $\mathrm{Mod}(\Gamma_0(3),\chi)$ of modular forms with character χ for $\Gamma_0(3)$ is a polynomial ring generated by $E_1(\tau,\chi)$ and $E_3(\tau,\chi)$ in (4.2) (cf. [3]). Therefore, the holomorphic function F_0 can be expressed as a polynomial of $E_1(\tau,\chi)$ and $E_3(\tau,\chi)$.

Since the Legendre symbol of 3 and the Dirichlet charactor χ_{-3} coincide, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(q)&=E_1(q,\chi)=1+6q+6q^3+6q^4+12q^7+\dots\\ \beta(q)&=E_3(q,\chi)=q+3q^2+9q^3+13q^4+24q^5+\dots\end{aligned}\tag{5.4}$$

Then we have

Corollary 8. *Set $\overrightarrow{\Psi}=\sum\limits_{i=0}^{2}\Psi_iv_i$. Then*

$$\Psi_0(q)=-2\alpha^{11}+324\alpha^8\beta+183708\alpha^5\beta^2+4408992\alpha^2\beta^3.\tag{5.5}$$

Proof. The computation is done via checking the first four terms of Ψ_0 ♣

5.2. **Modularity of $\Theta(q)$.** With the notation above, we consider the scalar-valued holomorphic function

$$\Theta'(q)=\Psi_0+\Psi_1+\Psi_2.\tag{5.6}$$

Recalling that $\Theta(q)=\Psi_0+\frac{1}{2}(\Psi_1+\Psi_2)$, we have

$$\Theta(q)=\frac{1}{2}(\Theta'(q)+\Psi_0(q)).\tag{5.7}$$

Since $\ker \rho^*_{W'} = \Gamma(3)$, $\Theta(q)$ is a modular form of level 3. Moreover, one can check that $\Theta'(q)$ is a scalar-valued modular form with character χ for the congruence subgroup $\Gamma^0(3)$ with generators

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.8}$$

Remark 9. This can be verified by either Theorem 6 or using the generators (5.8) to check the modularity.

Similar as $\mathrm{Mod}(\Gamma_0(3), \chi)$, the space $\mathrm{Mod}(\Gamma^0(3), \chi)$ of modular forms for $\Gamma^0(3)$ with character χ is also a polynomial ring generated by the Eisenstein series $E'_1(\tau, \chi)$ and $E'_3(\tau, \chi)$.

Therefore, the q-expansion of $\Phi'(\tau)$ can be expressed as a linear combination of

$$\alpha^{11}(q^{\frac{1}{3}}),\ \alpha^8(q^{\frac{1}{3}})\beta(q^{\frac{1}{3}}),\ \alpha^5(q^{\frac{1}{3}})\beta^2(q^{\frac{1}{3}}),\ \alpha^2(q^{\frac{1}{3}})\beta^3(q^{\frac{1}{3}}). \tag{5.9}$$

The following formula is then obtained by checking coefficients:

Corollary 9.

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta'(q) = &-2\alpha^{11}(q^{\frac{1}{3}}) + 132\alpha^8(q^{\frac{1}{3}})\beta(q^{\frac{1}{3}}) - \\ &2772\alpha^5(q^{\frac{1}{3}})\beta^2(q^{\frac{1}{3}}) + 18144\alpha^2(q^{\frac{1}{3}})\beta^3(q^{\frac{1}{3}}),\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta(q) = &-\alpha^{11}(q) + 162\alpha^8(q)\beta(q) + 91854\alpha^5(q)\beta^2(q) + \\ &2204496\alpha^2(q)\beta^3(q) - \alpha^{11}(q^{\frac{1}{3}}) + 66\alpha^8(q^{\frac{1}{3}})\beta(q^{\frac{1}{3}}) \\ &- 1386\alpha^5(q^{\frac{1}{3}})\beta^2(q^{\frac{1}{3}}) + 9072\alpha^2(q^{\frac{1}{3}})\beta^3(q^{\frac{1}{3}}).\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

6. PICARD GROUP OF THE ARITHMETIC QUOTIENT

Let M be an even integral lattice of signature $(2, m)$ and $\mathcal{X}_M$ the arithmetic quotient defined in §3.2. A natural question is whether the Picard group with rational coefficients $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{X}_M)$ is generated by the Heegner divisors.

We denote by $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{X}_M)^{Heegner}$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{X}_M)$ generated by the Heegner divisors and $\mathrm{Cusp}(Mp_2(\mathbb{Z}), 1 + \frac{m}{2}, \rho^*_M)$ the space of vector-valued cusp forms (i.e. vector-valued modular forms vanish at 0) of weight $1 + \frac{m}{2}$ and type ρ^*_M.

Lemma 5. [1][4] *If M contains $U^{\oplus 2}$ as a direct summand, then*

$$\dim \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{M}})^{\mathrm{Heegner}} = 1 + \dim \mathrm{Cusp}(\mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z}), 1 + \frac{\mathrm{m}}{2}, \rho^*_{\mathrm{M}})$$

Remark 10. If $M = \mathbb{Z}\omega \oplus U^{\oplus 2} \oplus (-E_8)^{\oplus 2}$ is an even lattice of signature $(2, 19)$, where M corresponds to the primitive cohomology of a quasi-polarized $K3$ surface of degree l and $\langle \omega, \omega \rangle = -l$. The question above is equivalent to asking whether $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{M}_l)$ is spanned by the Noether-Lefschetz divisors on $\mathcal{M}_l$, where $\mathcal{M}_l$ is the moduli space of quasi-polarized $K3$ surfaces of degree l. This question remains open when $l > 4$ (cf. [13] §7).

In this section, we are interested in the case $M = -\Lambda_0$, where $\mathcal{X}_M = \mathcal{X}$. As we computed in §4, $\mathrm{Cusp}(\mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z}), 1 + \frac{\mathrm{m}}{2}, \rho^*_{\mathrm{M}})$ is a one-dimensional space generated by $\overrightarrow{F}_1$. Therefore, we have

$$\dim \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{X})^{Heegner} = 1 + 1 = 2.$$

On the other hand, let $\mathcal{C}^s = \mathcal{U}^s//SL_6(\mathbb{C})$ denote the moduli space of stable cubic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ in the sense of Geometric Invariant Theory, where $\mathcal{U}^s$ is the open subset of $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Sym}^3\mathrm{V}^*)$ consisting of all points which are stable under the action of $SL_6(\mathbb{C})$.

In [9], Kirwan has shown that

$$H^i(\mathcal{C}^s, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^i(BGL_6(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$$

for $i < 12$, where $BGL_6(\mathbb{C})$ is the classifying space of the complex general linear group $GL_6(\mathbb{C})$. The cohomology ring of $BGL_6(\mathbb{C})$ is a polynomial ring generated by 6 generators in degree $2, 4, 6, \ldots, 12$ (cf. [9]). In particular, $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^2(\mathcal{C}^s, \mathbb{Q}) = 1$ and hence $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{C}^s)$ has rank one.

As indicated in §2, the moduli space of cubic fourfold with at worst isolated simple singularities $\mathcal{C}$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{C}^s$. Furthermore, the boundary component $\mathcal{C}^s \backslash \mathcal{C}$ has codimension bigger than one (cf. [8] [10]).

By the definition of stability, the Lie group $SL_6(\mathbb{C})$ acts on $\mathcal{U}^s$ and $\mathcal{U}$ smoothly with only finite stabilizers. It is easy to see that there are natural orbifold structures on $\mathcal{C}^s$ and $\mathcal{C}$ (cf. [15] §8.4). Then $\mathcal{C}^s$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are complex orbifolds and thus $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.

Let $\mathrm{Div}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{s}})$ be the group of Weil divisor classes on $\mathcal{C}^s$ and $\mathrm{Div}(\mathcal{C})$ the group of Weil divisors classes on $\mathcal{C}$. Then $\mathrm{Div}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{s}}) \cong \mathrm{Div}(\mathcal{C})$ as $\mathrm{codim}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^s) \geq 2$. It follows that $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{s}}) \cong \mathrm{Div}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{s}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathrm{Div}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ by the $\mathbb{Q}$-factority. We thus conclude that

Theorem 10. *The Picard group with rational coefficients* $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{C})$ *has rank one.*

Recalling that $\mathcal{C}$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{X}$ via the open immersion $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{X}$ and the boundary $\mathcal{X} \backslash \mathcal{C}$ is D_2 (see Remark 4), we have the following result as a corollary:

Corollary 11. $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{X})$ *has rank two and is spanned by* $y_{0,0}$ *and* $D_2 = y_{1/3,\gamma_1}$.

7. Appendix

7.1. **Computation of the degree of C_6.** Recall the two exact sequences (2.8) and (2.7). Let H denote the hyperplane class on $\mathbb{P}(V)$ and $c_i = c_i(K)$, the chern classes of K. By (2.7), Chern polynomial of $J^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(3))$ can be written as:

$$c_t(J^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(3))) = (1+3Ht)\sum_{i=0}^{5}(1+3Ht)^{5-i}\binom{6}{i}(-tH)^i \ .$$

Then (2.8) implies the equation

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= c_t(K) \times c_t(J^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(3))) \\ &= c_t(K)\left((1+3Ht)\sum_{i=0}^{5}(1+3Ht)^{5-i}\binom{6}{i}(-tH)^i\right), \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$c_1 = -12H, c_2 = 84H^2, c_3 = -448H^3, c_4 = 2016H^4, c_5 = -8064H^5.$$

Since the rank of K is 50, it gives

$$\xi^{50} + c_1\xi^{49} + \cdots + c_5\xi^{45} = 0 \ . \tag{7.1}$$

It follows that

$$\xi^{54} = (-c_1^5 + 4c_1^3c_2 - 3c_1c_2^2 - 3c_1^2c_3 + 2c_2c_3 + 2c_1c_4 - c_5)\xi^{49} = 192 \ .$$

7.2. **Computation of the degree of C_8.** Recall the two exact sequences (2.9) and (2.10). Still, we let c_i denote Chern classes of K' and set σ_a to be Schubert class of $Gr(3,V)$, where $a = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ satisfies $3 \geq a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3 \geq 0$. Since K' is of rank 46, we have the equation

$$\xi^{46} + c_1\xi^{45} + \cdots + c_9\xi^{37} = 0. \tag{7.2}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{54} =&(-c_1^9 + 8c_1^7c_2 - 7c_1^6c_3 - 21c_1^5c_2^2 + 6c_1^5c_4 + 30c_1^4c_2c_3 - 5c_1^4c_5 + 20c_1^3c_2^3 \\ &- 20c_1^3c_2c_4 - 10c_1^3c_3^2 + 4c_1^3c_6 - 30c_1^2c_2^2c_3 + 12c_1^2c_2c_5 + 12c_1^2c_3c_4 - 3c_1^2c_7 \\ &- 5c_1c_2^4 + 12c_1c_2^2c_4 + 12c_1c_2c_3^2 - 6c_1c_2c_6 - 6c_1c_3c_5 - 3c_1c_4^2 + 2c_1c_8 \\ &+ 4c_2^3c_3 - 3c_2^2c_5 - 6c_2c_3c_4 + 2c_2c_7 - c_3^3 + 2c_3c_6 + 2c_4c_5 - c_9)\cdot\xi^{45} \ . \end{aligned}$$

According to the exactness of (2.9), we get

$$c_1(S) = -\sigma_1, \ c_2(S) = \sigma_{1,1}, \ c_3(S) = -\sigma_{1,1,1}.$$

Then c_i can be computed via the exact sequence (2.10) as following:

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1 =& -10 \cdot \sigma_1 \\
c_2 =& 60 \cdot \sigma_1^2 - 15 \cdot \sigma_{1,1} \\
c_3 =& -282 \cdot \sigma_1^3 + 189 \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_{1,1} - 27 \cdot \sigma_{1,1,1} \\
c_4 =& 1149 \cdot \sigma_1^4 - 1395 \cdot \sigma_1^2 \cdot \sigma_{1,1} + 351 \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_{1,1,1} + 162 \cdot \sigma_{1,1}^2 \\
c_5 =& -4272 \cdot \sigma_1^5 + 7911 \cdot \sigma_1^3 \cdot \sigma_{1,1} - 2673 \cdot \sigma_1^2 \cdot \sigma_{1,1,1} - 2484 \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_{1,1}^2 \\
& + 648 \cdot \sigma_{1,1} \cdot \sigma_{1,1,1} \\
c_6 =& 14932 \cdot \sigma_1^6 - 38268 \cdot \sigma_1^4 \cdot \sigma_{1,1} + 15629 \cdot \sigma_1^3 \cdot \sigma_{1,1,1} + 21898 \cdot \sigma_1^2 \cdot \sigma_{1,1}^2 \\
& - 10188 \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_{1,1} \cdot \sigma_{1,1,1} - 1570 \cdot \sigma_{1,1}^3 + 702 \cdot \sigma_{1,1,1}^2 \\
c_7 =& -49996 \cdot \sigma_1^7 + 166590 \cdot \sigma_1^5 \cdot \sigma_{1,1} - 77858 \cdot \sigma_1^4 \cdot \sigma_{1,1,1} - 146032 \cdot \sigma_1^3 \cdot \sigma_{1,1}^2 \\
& + 92052 \cdot \sigma_1^2 \cdot \sigma_{1,1} \cdot \sigma_{1,1,1} + 28522 \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_{1,1}^3 - 11232 \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_{1,1,1}^2 \\
& - 10206 \cdot \sigma_{1,1}^2 \cdot \sigma_{1,1,1} \\
c_8 =& 162369 \cdot \sigma_1^8 - 673530 \cdot \sigma_1^6 \cdot \sigma_{1,1} + 348538 \cdot \sigma_1^5 \cdot \sigma_{1,1,1} + 819728 \cdot \sigma_1^4 \cdot \sigma_{1,1}^2 \\
& - 628656 \cdot \sigma_1^3 \cdot \sigma_{1,1} \cdot \sigma_{1,1,1} - 293408 \cdot \sigma_1^2 \cdot \sigma_{1,1}^3 + 103302 \cdot \sigma_1^2 \cdot \sigma_{1,1,1}^2 \\
& + 189162 \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_{1,1}^2 \cdot \sigma_{1,1,1} + 14583 \cdot \sigma_{1,1}^4 - 23490 \cdot \sigma_{1,1} \cdot \sigma_{1,1,1}^2 \\
c_9 =& -515886 \cdot \sigma_1^9 + 2580498 \cdot \sigma_1^7 \cdot \sigma_{1,1} - 1446718 \cdot \sigma_1^6 \cdot \sigma_{1,1,1} \\
& - 4093280 \cdot \sigma_1^5 \cdot \sigma_{1,1}^2 + 3609936 \cdot \sigma_1^4 \cdot \sigma_{1,1} \cdot \sigma_{1,1,1} + 2253992 \cdot \sigma_1^3 \cdot \sigma_{1,1}^3 \\
& - 717984 \cdot \sigma_1^3 \cdot \sigma_{1,1,1}^2 - 1983960 \cdot \sigma_1^2 \cdot \sigma_{1,1}^2 \cdot \sigma_{1,1,1} - 307242 \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_{1,1}^4 \\
& + 441774 \cdot \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_{1,1} \cdot \sigma_{1,1,1}^2 + 134244 \cdot \sigma_{1,1}^3 \cdot \sigma_{1,1,1} - 18954 \cdot \sigma_{1,1,1}^3
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain $\deg(C_8) = \xi^{54} = 3402$.

References

[1] Richard E. Borcherds. Automorphic forms with singularities on Grassmannians. *Invent. Math.*, 132(3):491–562, 1998.

[2] Richard E. Borcherds. The Gross-Kohnen-Zagier theorem in higher dimensions. *Duke Math. J.*, 97(2):219–233, 1999.

[3] Richard E. Borcherds. Reflection groups of Lorentzian lattices. *Duke Math. J.*, 104(2):319–366, 2000.

[4] Jan Hendrik Bruinier. On the rank of Picard groups of modular varieties attached to orthogonal groups. *Compositio Math.*, 133(1):49–63, 2002.

[5] Jan Hendrik Bruinier. Hilbert modular forms and their applications. In *The 1-2-3 of modular forms*, Universitext, pages 105–179. Springer, Berlin, 2008.

[6] Jan Hendrik Bruinier and Michael Kuss. Eisenstein series attached to lattices and modular forms on orthogonal groups. *Manuscripta Math.*, 106(4):443–459, 2001.

[7] Fred Diamond and Jerry Shurman. *A first course in modular forms*, volume 228 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.

[8] Brendan Hassett. Special cubic fourfolds. *Compositio Math.*, 120(1):1–23, 2000.

[9] Frances Kirwan. Moduli spaces of degree d hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{P}_n$. *Duke Math. J.*, 58(1):39–78, 1989.

[10] Radu Laza. The moduli space of cubic fourfolds. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 18(3):511–545, 2009.

[11] Radu Laza. The moduli space of cubic fourfolds via the period map. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 172(1):673–711, 2010.

[12] Eduard Looijenga. The period map for cubic fourfolds. *Invent. Math.*, 177(1):213–233, 2009.

[13] D. Maulik and R. Pandharipande. Gromov-Witten theory and Noether-Lefschetz theory. *arXiv:0705.1653*, 2007.

[14] William J. McGraw. The rationality of vector valued modular forms associated with the Weil representation. *Math. Ann.*, 326(1):105–122, 2003.

[15] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan. *Geometric invariant theory*, volume 34 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (2)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, third edition, 1994.

[16] D. J. Saunders. *The geometry of jet bundles*, volume 142 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.

[17] Nils R. Scheithauer. The Weil representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and some applications. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (8):1488–1545, 2009.

[18] Claire Voisin. Théorème de Torelli pour les cubiques de $\mathbf{P}^5$. *Invent. Math.*, 86(3):577–601, 1986.

[19] Claire Voisin. Erratum: “A Torelli theorem for cubics in $\mathbb{P}^5$” (French) [Invent. Math. **86** (1986), no. 3, 577–601; no 0860684]. *Invent. Math.*, 172(2):455–458, 2008.

[20] I. J. Zucker and M. M. Robertson. Some properties of Dirichlet L-series. *J. Phys. A*, 9(8):1207–1214, 1976.

Department of Mathematics, Rice University, 6100 Main Street, Houston, TX 77005, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `letao.zhang@rice.edu, zhiyuan.li@rice.edu`